1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA 9 10 AUSTIN COVINGTON, an individual, and CASE NO. C20-06173-LK 11 WENDY BUCK, an individual, ORDER REQUESTING JOINT 12 Plaintiffs, STATUS REPORT v. 13 GERMAN WISE DENTAL LLC dba 14 LOWER COLUMBIA ORAL HEALTH, a Washington limited liability company, and 15 SAM WISE, an individual, 16 Defendants. 17 This matter comes before the Court on a notice of bankruptcy stay filed by German Wise 18 Dental, LLC. Dkt. No. 77. The notice states that German Wise Dental, LLC has filed a voluntary 19 petition in bankruptcy in the United States Bankruptcy Court for this district, Case No. 22-40773-20 BDL, and pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 362, "all proceedings in this case against German Wise Dental, 21 LLC have been immediately stayed." Id. at 2. 22 23 24

ORDER REQUESTING JOINT STATUS REPORT - 1

While the Plaintiffs' claims against German Wise Dental, LLC are automatically stayed, Covington's claim against the other Defendant, Dr. Sam Wise, is not. See, e.g., In re Ripon Self Storage, LLC, No. BAP EC-10-1325-HKID, 2011 WL 3300087, at *6 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. Apr. 1, 2011) (explaining that an automatic stay of proceedings against a defendant in bankruptcy proceedings does not automatically extend to non-debtor co-defendants); Dkt. No. 1 at 21–22 (asserting a claim against Dr. Wise under Section 49.52.050 of the Revised Code of Washington for willful withholding of wages). The parties have not moved the bankruptcy court or this Court to stay the claim against Dr. Wise.

Rather than assume that Covington wants to proceed to trial with his claim against Dr. Wise—and potentially undergo a second trial against the LLC after the bankruptcy proceedings have concluded—the Court requests that Covington and Dr. Wise submit a joint status report by November 3, 2022 that addresses the following:

- (1) whether they plan to seek a stay of the claim against Dr. Wise from the bankruptcy court;
- (2) whether this Court should exercise its inherent authority to stay the claim against Dr. Wise to avoid conducting two trials on overlapping issues; *see, e.g., Beardsley v. All Am. Heating, Inc.*, C05–1962P, 2007 WL 1521225, at *3 (W.D. Wash. May 22, 2007); *J & J Sports Prods., Inc. v. Brar*, No. 2:09-CV-3394-GEB-EFB, 2012 WL 4755037, at *2 (E.D. Cal. Oct. 3, 2012);
- (3) when they anticipate the bankruptcy proceedings will conclude;
- (4) whether Covington wants to proceed to trial on his claim against Dr. Wise before the bankruptcy proceedings conclude, and if so, the dates on which Covington and Dr.

¹ Counsel for Dr. Wise has withdrawn, and Dr. Wise is proceeding pro se. Dkt. No. 76.

Wise have complications to be considered in setting a trial date within the next six 1 2 months; and (5) whether they believe it would be worthwhile to conduct mediation before a Magistrate 3 Judge in this district regarding Covington's claim against Dr. Wise. 4 5 If the parties cannot agree on their responses to these questions, they may set forth their 6 positions in separate paragraphs in the combined joint status report. Counsel for Covington shall 7 be responsible for starting the communications needed to comply with this Order and filing the joint status report. 8 9 In addition, pending the parties' submission of a joint status report, the Clerk is directed to renote Plaintiffs' supplemental motion in limine, Dkt. No. 62, for November 3, 2022. No further 10 11 briefing on that motion will be accepted. 12 The Clerk is directed to send uncertified copies of this Order to all counsel of record and to any party appearing pro se at said party's last known address. 13 Dated this 13th day of October, 2022. 14 15 Lauren Vinz 16 United States District Judge 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24